Designation: D7766/D7766M – 23

Standard Practice for Damage Resistance Testing of Sandwich Constructions[1]

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D7766/D7766M; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ε) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This practice provides instructions for modifying laminate quasi-static indentation and drop-weight impact test methods to determine damage resistance properties of sandwich constructions. Permissible core material forms include those with continuous bonding surfaces (such as balsa wood and foams) as well as those with discontinuous bonding surfaces (such as honeycomb, truss cores and fiber-reinforced cores).

1.2 This practice supplements Test Methods D6264/D6264M (for quasi-static indentation testing) and D7136/D7136M (for drop-weight impact testing) with provisions for testing sandwich specimens. Several important test specimen parameters (for example, facing thickness, core thickness and core density) are not mandated by this practice; however, repeatable results require that these parameters be specified and reported.

1.3 Three test procedures are provided. Procedures A and B correspond to D6264/D6264M test procedures for rigidly-backed and edge-supported test conditions, respectively. Procedure C corresponds to D7136/D7136M test procedures. All three procedures are suitable for imparting damage to a sandwich specimen in preparation for subsequent damage tolerance testing in accordance with Test Method D8287/D8287M (compressive loading) and Practice D8388/D8388M (flexural loading).

1.4 In general, Procedure A is considered to be the most suitable procedure for comparative damage resistance assessments, due to reduced influence of flexural stiffness and support fixture characteristics upon damage formation. However, the selection of a test procedure and associated support conditions should be done in consideration of the intended structural application, and as such Procedures B and C may be more appropriate for comparative purposes for some applications.

1.5 *Units*—The values stated in either SI units or inch-pound units are to be regarded separately as standard. The values stated in each system are not necessarily exact equivalents; therefore, to ensure conformance with the standard, each system shall be used independently of the other, and values from the two systems shall not be combined.

1.5.1 Within the text the inch-pound units are shown in brackets.

1.6 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety, health, and environmental practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

1.7 *This international standard was developed in accordance with internationally recognized principles on standardization established in the Decision on Principles for the Development of International Standards, Guides and Recommendations issued by the World Trade Organization Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Committee.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards:*[2]

D792 Test Methods for Density and Specific Gravity (Relative Density) of Plastics by Displacement

D883 Terminology Relating to Plastics

D3171 Test Methods for Constituent Content of Composite Materials

D3878 Terminology for Composite Materials

D5229/D5229M Test Method for Moisture Absorption Properties and Equilibrium Conditioning of Polymer Matrix Composite Materials

D5687/D5687M Guide for Preparation of Flat Composite Panels with Processing Guidelines for Specimen Preparation

D6264/D6264M Test Method for Measuring the Damage Resistance of a Fiber-Reinforced Polymer-Matrix Composite to a Concentrated Quasi-Static Indentation Force

D7136/D7136M Test Method for Measuring the Damage Resistance of a Fiber-Reinforced Polymer Matrix Composite to a Drop-Weight Impact Event

[1] This practice is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D30 on Composite Materials and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D30.09 on Sandwich Construction.

Current edition approved May 1, 2023. Published June 2023. Originally approved in 2011. Last previous edition approved in 2016 as D7766/D7766M – 16. DOI: 10.1520/D7766_D7766M-23.

[2] For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

D8287/D8287M Test Method for Compressive Residual Strength Properties of Damaged Sandwich Composite Panels
D8388/D8388M Practice for Flexural Residual Strength Testing of Damaged Sandwich Constructions
E6 Terminology Relating to Methods of Mechanical Testing
E122 Practice for Calculating Sample Size to Estimate, With Specified Precision, the Average for a Characteristic of a Lot or Process
E177 Practice for Use of the Terms Precision and Bias in ASTM Test Methods
E456 Terminology Relating to Quality and Statistics
E2533 Guide for Nondestructive Examination of Polymer Matrix Composites Used in Aerospace Applications

2.2 *Other Documents:*
CMH-17-3G Composite Materials Handbook, Volume 3—Polymer Matrix Composites: Materials Usage, Design and Analysis[3]
CMH-17-6 Composite Materials Handbook, Volume 6—Structural Sandwich Composites[3]
MIL-HDBK-728/1 Nondestructive Testing[4]
MIL-HDBK-731A Nondestructive Testing Methods of Composite Materials—Thermography[4]
MIL-HDBK-732A Nondestructive Testing Methods of Composite Materials—Acoustic Emission[4]
MIL-HDBK-733A Nondestructive Testing Methods of Composite Materials—Radiography[4]
MIL-HDBK-787A Nondestructive Testing Methods of Composite Materials—Ultrasonics[4]

3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions*—Terminology D3878 defines terms relating to high-modulus fibers and their composites, as well as terms relating to sandwich constructions. Terminology D883 defines terms relating to plastics. Terminology E6 defines terms relating to mechanical testing. Terminology E456 and Practice E177 define terms relating to statistics. In the event of a conflict between terms, Terminology D3878 shall have precedence over the other terminologies.

3.2 *Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:*

3.2.1 If the term represents a physical quantity, its analytical dimensions are stated immediately following the term (or letter symbol) in fundamental dimension form, using the following ASTM standard symbology for fundamental dimensions, shown within square brackets: [*M*] for mass, [*L*] for length, [*T*] for time, [θ] for thermodynamic temperature, and [*nd*] for non-dimensional quantities. Use of these symbols is restricted to analytical dimensions when used with square brackets, as the symbols may have other definitions when used without the brackets.

3.2.2 *dent depth, d [L], n*—residual depth of the depression formed by an indenter after removal of applied force during a quasi-static indentation test, or by an impactor after the impact event during a drop-weight impact test. The dent depth shall be defined as the maximum distance in a direction normal to the face of the specimen from the lowest point in the dent to the plane of the indented or impacted surface that is undisturbed by the dent.

3.2.3 *nominal value, n*—a value, existing in name only, assigned to a measurable property for the purpose of convenient designation. Tolerances may be applied to a nominal value to define an acceptable range for the property.

3.2.4 *recorded contact force, F [MLT^{-2}], n*—the force exerted by the indenter on the specimen during a quasi-static indentation test, or by the impactor on the specimen during a drop-weight impact test, as recorded by a force indicator.

3.2.5 *tip, n*—the portion or component of the indenter or impactor which comes into contact with the test specimen first during a quasi-static indentation or drop-weight impact test.

3.3 *Symbols:*

3.3.1 *E* —potential energy of impactor prior to drop

3.3.2 *t* —thickness of impacted sandwich facing

4. Summary of Practices

4.1 *Procedure A*—In accordance with Test Method D6264/D6264M, but with a sandwich specimen, perform a quasi-static indentation test of a rigidly-backed specimen. Damage is imparted through an out-of-plane, concentrated force applied by slowly pressing a displacement-controlled hemispherical indenter into the face of the specimen. The damage resistance is quantified in terms of the resulting size, location and type of damage in the specimen.

4.2 *Procedure B*—In accordance with Test Method D6264/D6264M, but with a sandwich specimen, perform a quasi-static indentation test of an edge-supported specimen. Damage is imparted through an out-of-plane, concentrated force applied by slowly pressing a displacement-controlled hemispherical indenter into the face of the specimen. The damage resistance is quantified in terms of the resulting size, location and type of damage in the specimen.

4.3 *Procedure C*—In accordance with Test Method D7136/D7136M, but with a sandwich specimen, perform a drop-weight impact test of an edge-supported specimen. Damage is imparted through an out-of-plane, concentrated impact using a drop weight with a hemispherical striker tip. The damage resistance is quantified in terms of the resulting size, location and type of damage in the specimen.

5. Significance and Use

5.1 This practice provides supplemental instructions that allow Test Methods D6264/D6264M (for quasi-static indentation testing) and D7136/D7136M (for drop-weight impact testing) to determine damage resistance properties of sandwich constructions. Susceptibility to damage from concentrated out-of-plane forces is one of the major design concerns of many structures made using sandwich constructions. Knowledge of the damage resistance properties of a sandwich panel is useful for product development and material selection.

[3] Available from SAE International (SAE), 400 Commonwealth Dr., Warrendale, PA 15096, http://www.sae.org.

[4] Available from U.S. Army Materials Technology Laboratory, Watertown, MA 02471.