



Designation: E1263 – 97(Reapproved 2008)

Standard Guide for Conduct of Micronucleus Assays in Mammalian Bone Marrow Erythrocytes¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation E1263; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This guide provides recommended guidelines for performing the mammalian *in vivo* bone marrow micronucleus assay. Under appropriate test conditions, measurement of the frequency of newly formed micronucleated erythrocytes in bone marrow provides a convenient index of chromosomal damage in nucleated erythrocyte precursor cells. The rationale for the occurrence of micronuclei in conjunction with chromosomal damage has been described previously (1).² This guide describes conditions under which the frequency of micronucleated erythrocytes in mammalian bone marrow is an appropriate measure of *in vivo* chromosomal damage, and provides guidelines for the design and technical execution of assays employing this endpoint.

1.2 The following guidelines for mammalian bone marrow erythrocyte micronucleus assays have been published by organizations concerned with the evaluation of genotoxicity test data. These references should be consulted for recommendations on details not covered in depth by this guide and for requirements of specific organizations or government agencies (2-6).

1.3 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as standard. No other units of measurement are included in this standard.

1.4 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

2. Summary of Guide

2.1 Animals are exposed either acutely or chronically to a test substance. At predetermined times after or during

exposure, animals are sacrificed and the bone marrow is extracted, spread on slides, and stained. The frequency of micronucleated cells among the newly-formed (RNA-containing) erythrocytes is determined, and this frequency is compared among treatment groups. The newly-formed erythrocytes are identified by staining the residual RNA which remains in the newly-formed cells for about 2 days after enucleation. Cells that stain uniformly positive for RNA are referred to as polychromatic, or polychromatophilic, erythrocytes (PCEs). Cells that do not stain positively for RNA are referred to as normochromatic erythrocytes (NCEs). An increase in the frequency of micronucleated PCEs relative to the vehicle control group indicates that the test substance induced structural chromosomal damage or lagging chromosomes aneuploidy in the nucleated erythrocytic cells.

3. Significance and Use

3.1 This guide provides guidelines for the selection of animal species, dosage and sampling conditions, sampling and scoring methods, statistical design, and analysis of genotoxicity assays in which the endpoint measured is the frequency of micronucleated erythrocytes in mammalian bone marrow.

4. Animal Selection and Care

4.1 Laboratory species that are suitable for use in this assay include the mouse (*Mus musculus*), rat (*Rattus rattus*), and Chinese hamster (*Cricetulus griseus*) (1). Other species probably are equally suitable. If species or strains not previously used are employed, it must be established that the preparation procedure adequately visualizes RNA-containing erythrocytes and micronuclei, that potential artifacts such as aggregated RNA and mast cell granules do not interfere with the identification of micronuclei under the conditions employed, and that the micronucleus frequency is responsive to known clastogens and aneuploidy-inducing agents in that species and strain.

4.2 In choosing the species and strain of test animal, consideration should be given both to the availability of historical data on the response of that species and strain to known genotoxins and to the availability of other toxicity data on the same test material in the species and strain chosen. Choice of the same strain to be used in other genotoxicity assays of the same test material, or in long-term toxicity or

¹ This guide is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee F04 on Medical and Surgical Materials and Devices and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee F04.16 on Biocompatibility Test Methods.

Current edition approved Aug. 1, 2008. Published August 2008. Originally approved in 1988. Last previous edition approved in 2003 as E1263 – 97 (2003). DOI: 10.1520/E1263-97R08.

² The boldface numbers in parentheses refer to the list of references at the end of this guide.

carcinogenicity bioassays, has the advantage that the micronucleus frequency can be directly compared with other endpoints. The species for which the largest data base on known genotoxins is available is the mouse (1).

4.3 Animals should be obtained from a recognized source of laboratory animals and should be acclimated to laboratory conditions prior to use. Upon arrival, the age, sex, weight, and health of each animal should be documented. Only healthy animals should be used. Animal care and housing should conform to prevailing guidelines for the country and institution where the work is conducted. General information on guidelines for animal care and use can be obtained from the American Association for Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care.³ For any given experiment, all animals should be from the same source and should be approximately the same age (within one week for young adults). In the absence of special requirements for a particular age and sex, young adults of both sexes are recommended. Data from each sex should be analyzed independently.

5. Route of Administration and Choice of Vehicle

5.1 The choice of exposure route depends on the objective of the experiment. The objective of most micronucleus assays is to determine if the test substance induces types of chromosomal damage known to result in the formation of micronuclei. In this case, it is desirable to choose a route of administration and a vehicle that maximize the dose delivered to the target tissue. For this purpose, intraperitoneal and oral routes have been used most commonly, although others may also be appropriate. In other cases, the objective may be to evaluate specifically *in vivo* activity under conditions based upon known exposure routes in man. In such cases, the appropriate route is the one that provides the best experimental model of the expected exposure route in man.

5.2 The choice of a solvent or vehicle is influenced by several factors, including the chemical nature and solubility of the test substance, its toxicity to the test organism, and the route of exposure. In all cases care must be taken to ensure that the vehicle selected will not produce measurable toxicity or interfere with the normal uptake and metabolism of the test substance at the dose employed. In particular, the vehicle should not alter the spontaneous micronucleus frequency. If possible, it is desirable to use isotonic saline for parenteral administration and water or isotonic saline for oral administration. For oral administration of organic substances not readily soluble in aqueous solution, a pharmaceutical grade of corn or other vegetable oil may be used. Vegetable oil is less suitable for intraperitoneal administration because it is poorly absorbed from the peritoneal cavity. Other acceptable choices of vehicle include carboxymethylcellulose or suspension in gum arabic. Dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) is an effective solvent for a wide range of substances and has frequently been used in experiments with mice, although there are a few reports of foreign intermediates being produced by interaction of DMSO

with certain test substances (7) and one unconfirmed report that DMSO increases the frequency of chromosomal aberrations in the rat (8).

6. Dose Selection

6.1 The doses to be employed should be selected on the basis of either toxicity data obtained in the same laboratory or published toxicity data, if available. Preliminary range-finding experiment(s) should employ a minimum of two animals per dose group and should use the solvent and route of exposure to be employed in the final experiment. The highest dose level should be chosen to meet one or more of the following criteria in the experiment carried out with the full test group:

6.1.1 It should cause a marked and significant increase in the micronucleus frequency in the target cell population.

6.1.2 It should produce a statistically significant suppression of the frequency of RNA-positive erythrocytes.

6.1.3 It should cause compound-related signs of toxicity or significantly reduce survival.

6.1.4 It should be the maximum practical dose that can be administered. The maximum practical dose of a nontoxic test material is determined by the physical bulk and solubility. Testing at such a maximum dose level has been referred to as a “limit test” in OECD and EPA/TSCA testing guidelines. This dose will vary with test agent, but will generally be in the range 5 to 10 g/kg for acute oral or intraperitoneal (i.p.) administration (3, 5).

6.2 The doses employed should include a minimum of two, and preferably three, doses, at least one of which does not severely reduce the frequency of RNA-positive erythrocytes (the frequency should be at least 10 to 20 % of the control value) and which does not significantly reduce the survival of the test animals. The rationale for selecting test doses has previously been discussed in the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Gene-Tox Program report on the bone marrow polychromatic erythrocyte assay (1) and by Salamone and Heddle (9). Because the maximum cytogenetic effect is likely to be found at doses near the maximum tolerated dose (MTD), the lower doses should be spaced at relatively small increments below the highest dose (for example, no more than ½ and ¼ of the upper dose).

7. Controls

7.1 *Vehicle or Solvent Control*—A vehicle or solvent control shall be included for each sampling condition (dose, time, sex) in each experiment. Animals are treated with the solvent or vehicle in the absence of the test substance. The quantity of solvent or vehicle administered should be equivalent to the maximum given to the animals receiving the test substance. This control helps discriminate any test-substance effect from any that may have been induced by the solvent.

7.2 *Untreated Control*—The use of untreated animals is generally not necessary during routine testing. It is important, however, that each laboratory determine the frequency of micronucleated cells in animals treated with the vehicle or solvent control relative to the spontaneous frequency in untreated animals, so that any effect of the vehicle or solvent is known.

³ Available from American Association for Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care (AAALAC), 5283 Corporate Dr., Suite 203, Frederick, MD 21703-2879.