Designation: E1355 – 23

An American National Standard

Standard Guide for Evaluating the Predictive Capability of Deterministic Fire Models[1]

This standard is issued under the fixed designation E1355; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ε) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This guide provides a methodology for evaluating the predictive capabilities of a fire model for a specific use. The intent is to cover the whole range of deterministic numerical models which might be used in evaluating the effects of fires in and on structures.

1.2 The methodology is presented in terms of four areas of evaluation:

1.2.1 Defining the model and scenarios for which the evaluation is to be conducted,

1.2.2 Verifying the appropriateness of the theoretical basis and assumptions used in the model,

1.2.3 Verifying the mathematical and numerical robustness of the model, and

1.2.4 Quantifying the uncertainty and accuracy of the model results in predicting of the course of events in similar fire scenarios.

1.3 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety, health, and environmental practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

1.4 This fire standard cannot be used to provide quantitative measures.

1.5 *This international standard was developed in accordance with internationally recognized principles on standardization established in the Decision on Principles for the Development of International Standards, Guides and Recommendations issued by the World Trade Organization Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Committee.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards:*[2]

E176 Terminology of Fire Standards

E603 Guide for Room Fire Experiments

E1591 Guide for Obtaining Data for Fire Growth Models

2.2 *International Standards Organization Standards:*[3]

ISO/IEC Guide 98 (2008) Uncertainty of measurement – Part 3: Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement

ISO 13943 (2008) Fire safety – Vocabulary

ISO 16730 (2008) Fire safety engineering – Assessment, verification and validation of calculation methods

3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions:* For definitions of terms used in this guide and associated with fire issues, refer to terminology contained in Terminology E176 and ISO 13943. In case of conflict, the definitions given in Terminology E176 shall prevail.

3.2 *Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:*

3.2.1 *model evaluation*—the process of quantifying the accuracy of chosen results from a model when applied for a specific use.

3.2.2 *model validation*—the process of determining the degree to which a calculation method is an accurate representation of the real world from the perspective of the intended uses of the calculation method.

3.2.2.1 *Discussion*—The fundamental strategy of validation is the identification and quantification of error and uncertainty in the conceptual and computational models with respect to intended uses.

3.2.3 *model verification*—the process of determining that the implementation of a calculation method accurately represents the developer's conceptual description of the calculation method and the solution to the calculation method.

3.2.3.1 *Discussion*—The fundamental strategy of verification of computational models is the identification and quantification of error in the computational model and its solution.

3.2.4 The precision of a model refers to the deterministic capability of a model and its repeatability.

3.2.5 The accuracy refers to how well the model replicates the evolution of an actual fire.

---

[1] This guide is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee E05 on Fire Standards and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee E05.33 on Fire Safety Engineering.

Current edition approved July 1, 2023. Published August 2023. Originally approved in 1990. Last previous edition approved in 2018 as E1355 – 12 (2018). DOI: 10.1520/E1355-23.

[2] For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

[3] Available from American National Standards Institute, 11 West 42nd Street, 13th Floor, New York, NY 10036.

4. Summary of Guide

4.1 A recommended process for evaluating the predictive capability of fire models is described. This process includes a brief description of the model and the scenarios for which evaluation is sought. Then, methodologies for conducting an analysis to quantify the sensitivity of model predictions to various uncertain factors are presented, and several alternatives for evaluating the accuracy of the predictions of the model are provided. Historically, numerical accuracy has been concerned with time step size and errors. A more complete evaluation must include spatial discretization. Finally, guidance is given concerning the relevant documentation required to summarize the evaluation process.

5. Significance and Use

5.1 The process of model evaluation is critical to establishing both the acceptable uses and limitations of fire models. It is not possible to evaluate a model in total; instead, this guide is intended to provide a methodology for evaluating the predictive capabilities for a specific use. Validation for one application or scenario does not imply validation for different scenarios. Several alternatives are provided for performing the evaluation process including: comparison of predictions against standard fire tests, full-scale fire experiments, field experience, published literature, or previously evaluated models.

5.2 The use of fire models currently extends beyond the fire research laboratory and into the engineering, fire service and legal communities. Sufficient evaluation of fire models is necessary to ensure that those using the models can judge the adequacy of the scientific and technical basis for the models, select models appropriate for a desired use, and understand the level of confidence which can be placed on the results predicted by the models. Adequate evaluation will help prevent the unintentional misuse of fire models.

5.3 This guide is intended to be used in conjunction with other guides under development by Committee E05. It is intended for use by:

5.3.1 *Model Developers*—To document the usefulness of a particular calculation method perhaps for specific applications. Part of model development includes identification of precision and limits of applicability, and independent testing.

5.3.2 *Model Users*—To assure themselves that they are using an appropriate model for an application and that it provides adequate accuracy.

5.3.3 *Developers of Model Performance Codes*—To be sure that they are incorporating valid calculation procedures into codes.

5.3.4 *Approving Officials*—To ensure that the results of calculations using mathematical models stating conformance to this guide, cited in a submission, show clearly that the model is used within its applicable limits and has an acceptable level of accuracy.

5.3.5 *Educators*—To demonstrate the application and acceptability of calculation methods being taught.

5.4 This guide is not meant to describe an acceptance testing procedure.

5.5 The emphasis of this guide is numerical models of fire evolution.

5.5.1 The precision of a model refers to the deterministic capability of a model and its repeatability.

5.5.2 The accuracy of a model refers to how well the model replicates the evolution of an actual fire.

6. General Methodology

6.1 The methodology is presented in terms of four areas of evaluation:

6.1.1 Defining the model and scenarios for which the evaluation is to be conducted,

6.1.2 Assessing the appropriateness of the theoretical basis and assumptions used in the model,

6.1.3 Assessing the mathematical and numerical robustness of the model, and

6.1.4 Quantifying the uncertainty and accuracy of the model results in predicting the course of events in similar fire scenarios.

6.1.5 This general methodology is also consistent with the methodology presented in ISO 16730, Fire safety engineering – Assessment, verification and validation of calculation methods, which is a potentially useful resource which can be used with ASTM E1355.

6.2 *Model and Scenario Documentation:*

6.2.1 *Model Documentation*—Sufficient documentation of calculation models, including computer software, is absolutely necessary to assess the adequacy of the scientific and technical basis of the models, and the accuracy of computational procedures. Also, adequate documentation will help prevent the unintentional misuse of fire models. Guidance on the documentation of computer-based fire models is provided in Section 7.

6.2.2 *Scenario Documentation*—Provide a complete description of the scenarios or phenomena of interest in the evaluation to facilitate appropriate application of the model, to aid in developing realistic inputs for the model, and to develop criteria for judging the results of the evaluation. Details applicable to evaluation of the predictive capability of fire models are provided in 7.2.

6.3 *Theoretical Basis and Assumptions in the Model*—An independent review of the underlying physics and chemistry inherent in a model ensures appropriate application of submodels which have been combined to produce the overall model. Details applicable to evaluation of the predictive capability of fire models are provided in Section 8.

6.4 *Mathematical and Numerical Robustness*—The computer implementation of the model should be checked to ensure such implementation matches the stated documentation. Details applicable to evaluation of the predictive capability of fire