



Designation: F2617 – 15 (Reapproved 2023)

Standard Test Method for Identification and Quantification of Chromium, Bromine, Cadmium, Mercury, and Lead in Polymeric Material Using Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectrometry¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation F2617; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This test method describes an energy dispersive X-ray fluorescence (EDXRF) spectrometric procedure for identification and quantification of chromium, bromine, cadmium, mercury, and lead in polymeric materials.

1.2 This test method is not applicable to determine total concentrations of polybrominated biphenyls (PBB), polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDE) or hexavalent chromium. This test method cannot be used to determine the valence states of atoms or ions.

1.3 This test method is applicable for a range from 20 mg/kg to approximately 1 wt % for chromium, bromine, cadmium, mercury, and lead in polymeric materials.

1.4 This test method is applicable for homogeneous polymeric material.

1.5 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as the standard. Values given in parentheses are for information only.

1.6 This test method is not applicable to quantitative determinations for specimens with one or more surface coatings present on the analyzed surface; however, qualitative information may be obtained. In addition, specimens less than infinitely thick for the measured X rays, must not be coated on the reverse side or mounted on a substrate.

1.7 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety, health, and environmental practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

1.8 *This international standard was developed in accordance with internationally recognized principles on standardization established in the Decision on Principles for the Development of International Standards, Guides and Recom-*

mendations issued by the World Trade Organization Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Committee.

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:²

- D883 Terminology Relating to Plastics
- D3641 Practice for Injection Molding Test Specimens of Thermoplastic Molding and Extrusion Materials
- D4703 Practice for Compression Molding Thermoplastic Materials into Test Specimens, Plaques, or Sheets
- D6299 Practice for Applying Statistical Quality Assurance and Control Charting Techniques to Evaluate Analytical Measurement System Performance
- E29 Practice for Using Significant Digits in Test Data to Determine Conformance with Specifications
- E135 Terminology Relating to Analytical Chemistry for Metals, Ores, and Related Materials
- E177 Practice for Use of the Terms Precision and Bias in ASTM Test Methods
- E691 Practice for Conducting an Interlaboratory Study to Determine the Precision of a Test Method
- E1361 Guide for Correction of Inter-element Effects in X-Ray Spectrometric Analysis
- F2576 Terminology Relating to Declarable Substances in Materials

3. Terminology

3.1 Definitions:

3.1.1 Definitions of terms applying to XRF, plastics and declarable substances appear in Terminology E135, Terminology D883 and Terminology F2576, respectively.

3.1.2 *Compton scatter*—the inelastic scattering of an X-ray photon through its interaction with the bound electrons of an atom; this process is also referred to as incoherent scatter.

3.1.3 *Rayleigh scatter*—the elastic scattering of an X-ray photon through its interaction with the bound electrons of an atom; this process is also referred to as coherent scatter.

¹ This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee F40 on Declarable Substances in Materials and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee F40.01 on Test Methods.

Current edition approved Oct. 1, 2023. Published November 2023. Originally approved in 2008. Last previous edition approved in 2015 as F2617 – 15. DOI: 10.1520/F2617-15R23.

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

3.1.3.1 *Discussion*—The measured count rate of Compton and Rayleigh scattered radiation varies depending upon specimen composition and may thus be used to compensate for matrix effects. One option is to use the measured count rate of the Compton scatter in the same manner as the measured count rate of an internal standard element. Alternatively, the measured count rate of the Compton scatter or the Compton/Rayleigh scatter ratio may be used indirectly for estimating the effective mass absorption coefficient of the specimen, which is used to compensate for matrix effects. The concept of corrections based on the Compton scatter effect is discussed as an optional part of several calibration choices in this standard.

3.1.4 *fundamental parameters (FP) model*—a model for calibration of X-ray fluorescence response, including the correction of matrix effects, based on the theory describing the physical processes of the interactions of X rays with matter.

3.1.5 *homogeneous polymeric material*—polymeric material is considered homogeneous for XRF when the elemental composition is independent with respect to the measured location on the specimen and among separate specimens prepared from the same polymeric material.

3.1.6 *infinite thickness (or critical thickness)*—the thickness of specimen which, if increased, yields no increase in intensity of secondary X rays, due to absorption by the polymer matrix.

3.1.6.1 *Discussion*—This thickness varies with secondary X-ray energy, or wavelength.

3.2 Abbreviations:

3.2.1 *EDXRF*—energy dispersive X-ray fluorescence

3.2.2 *FP*—fundamental parameters

3.2.3 *PBB*—polybrominated biphenyl

3.2.4 *PBDE*—polybrominated diphenyl ether

4. Summary of Test Method

4.1 The optimum test sample is a smooth plaque or disk large enough to cover the viewed area of the spectrometer. Suitable specimens may be die-cut from extruded sheets, or molded from resin pellets, from powders or from granules.

4.2 The specimen is placed in the X-ray beam, and the appropriate region of its spectrum is measured to give the count rates or fluorescent intensities of lead, mercury, cadmium, chromium and bromine.

4.3 The EDXRF spectrometer is calibrated by one of several approaches including fundamental parameters and empirical, classical curve construction, with either empirical or theoretical influence coefficients, from measured polymer reference materials. The calibration may be performed by the manufacturer or by the user.

4.4 Choices of appropriate characteristic X-ray lines and spectrometer test conditions may vary according to each element and with factors such as detector response, concentration range and other elements present in the polymer matrix.

5. Significance and Use

5.1 This test method is intended for the determination of chromium, bromine, cadmium, mercury, and lead, in homogeneous polymeric materials. The test method may be used to

ascertain the conformance of the product under test to manufacturing specifications. Typical time for a measurement is 5 to 10 min per specimen, depending on the specimen matrix and the capabilities of the EDXRF spectrometer.

6. Interferences

6.1 *Spectral Interferences*—Spectral interferences result from the behavior of the detector subsystem of the spectrometer and from scattering of X rays by the specimen, by a secondary target or by a monochromator, if the spectrometer is so equipped. Overlaps among the X-ray lines from elements in the specimen are caused by the limited resolution of the detection subsystem. Depending upon the resolution of the detector system, the peaks from Zn, Br, Hg and Pb may overlap with one another. Peaks from Cd may overlap with peaks from Ca, Sn, or other elements. Interactions of photons and electrons inside the detector give rise to additional peaks in a spectrum known as escape peaks and sum peaks. Fundamental Parameters equations require that the measured net count rates be free from line overlap effects. Some empirical approaches incorporate line overlap corrections in their equations. Manufacturers' software may provide tools to compensate for overlapped peaks, escape peaks, and sum peaks in spectra. The degree of line overlap and the best method to account or correct for it must be ascertained on an individual basis and must be considered when calibrating the instrument.

6.2 *Interelement Effects*—Interelement effects, also called matrix effects, exist among all elements as the result of absorption of fluorescent X rays (secondary X rays) by atoms in the specimen. Absorption reduces the apparent sensitivity for the element. In contrast, the atom that absorbs the X rays may in turn emit a fluorescent X ray, increasing the apparent sensitivity for the second element. Mathematical methods may be used to compensate for matrix effects. A number of mathematical correction procedures are commonly utilized including full FP treatments and mathematical models based on influence coefficient algorithms. The influence coefficients may be calculated either from first principles or from the empirical data, or some combination of the two approaches. See Guide E1361 for examples of these approaches. Also, consult the software manual for the spectrometer for information on the approaches provided with the spectrometer. Any of these that will achieve the necessary analytical accuracy is acceptable. Examples of common interelement effects are listed in Table 1.

7. Apparatus

7.1 *EDXRF Spectrometer*—Designed for X-ray fluorescence analysis with energy dispersive selection of radiation. The spectrometer is equipped with specimen holders and a specimen chamber. Any EDXRF spectrometer may be used if its design incorporates the following features.

7.1.1 *Source of X-ray Excitation*, capable of exciting the recommended lines listed in Table 2, typically an X-ray tube.

7.1.2 *X-ray Detector*, with sufficient energy resolution to resolve the recommended lines listed in Table 2. An energy resolution of better than 250 eV at Mn K-L_{2,3} (K α) has been found suitable.